

| | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| <i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i> | Application No. 10/597,205 | Applicant(s) HELDBERG ET AL. | |
| | Examiner JONATHAN BRADFORD | Art Unit 3744 | |

All Participants:

 (1) JONATHAN BRADFORD.

 (2) CHANG YANG.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 June 2011
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

11, 17, and 32

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claims 17, 18, and 20 had been allowed in a previous Office Action. The examiner suggested amending claims 11 and 32 to include the allowable limitations from claim 17. The applicant agreed to the inclusion of the limitations by examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/CHERYL J. TYLER/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3744

/J. B./

Examiner, Art Unit 3744

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)